



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/084,538	02/26/2002	Hirotoshi Ichikawa	02123/LH	7722
1933	7590 01/02/2004		EXAM	INER
FRISHAUF,	HOLTZ, GOODMAN &	WARD, A	WARD, AARON S	
767 THIRD A	VENUE	•		
25TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10017-2023			2675	- 4
			DATE MAILED: 01/02/200	4 7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comment	10/084,538	ICHIKAWA, HIROTOSHI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Aaron S. Ward	2675			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the (	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. It the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ju	ne 2002.				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This a	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	ice except for formal matters, pro x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 26 February 2002 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objecte drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78.  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provided in the first sentence of the reference was included in the reference was included in the first sentence of the reference was inclu	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(at sentence of the specification application has been received to the specification of the specification of the specification application has been received to the specification of the specification of the specification of the specification application has been received to the specification of the specification o	ion No  ed in this National Stage  ed.  e) (to a provisional application)  r in an Application Data Sheet.  eeived.  and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5.	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2675

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Claim Objections

2. Claims 1 and 11 are objected to because of the following informalities: lines 20-21 of claims 1 and 11 recite "one of the image display device," but only one image display device is disclosed. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jachimowicz et al. in view of Shirakawa.

As to claim 1, Jachimowicz et al. teaches a mobile information terminal apparatus 10 including a first body 12 (Figure 1) and a second body 11 pivotably supported. The mobile terminal 10 has an image display section 13 having an image display device 35 (Figure 4), a magnifying optical part 20 (Figure 3) and an observation window 22 (Figure 2) on the first body 12. Jachimowicz et al. teaches an operation section 21 (Figure 4) on the first body 12 (i.e.,

Page 2

Art Unit: 2675

operation section 21 operates to process input signals to produce a desired image; column 3, lines 46-57), and a display section 16 on the second body (column 2, lines 58-60) having lower resolution than image display section 13.

Jachimowicz et al. does not teach at least one pointing device or that the at least one pointing device and observation window face outside when the second body is in the closed position.

Shirakawa teaches a mobile terminal having first and second bodies povotably supported and including at least one pointing device 13, 23, 26 (Figures 1A and 1B) and an observation window 12 disposed so as to face toward the outside when the first and second bodies are closed.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Shirakawa with that of Jachimowicz et al. to provide the convenience of visibly observing the Jachimowicz et al. display and scrolling information programmed within the Jachimowicz et al. mobile terminal while it was closed, as taught by Shirakawa (column 2, line 57 – column 3, line 7).

As to claim 2, Jachimowicz et al. teaches that the image display section 13 is built in at least one of the first body 12 and the second body 11.

As to claim 4, Shirakawa teaches that the pointing device 13 (Figure 1A) is disposed on the back surface of the first body 11.

As to claim 5, Shirakawa teaches that the pointing device (Figure 3A; non-labeled elongated circle corresponding to the pointing device 13 of Figure 1A) is disposed on the back surface of the second body 42 (Figure 3B).

Art Unit: 2675

As to claim 6, Shirakawa teaches that the pointing device (Figure 3A) has a main operation member 47 and a subordinate operation member (Figure 3A) on the back surface of the second body 42 (Figure 3B), and a connecting member (Figure 3B), which connects the subordinate and main operation members interlocked with each other.

As to claim 7, Shirakawa teaches side surfaces of the first and second bodies, and that the pointing device 23 (Figure 1A) is disposed on the side surface of the first or second body.

As to claim 9, Jachimowicz et al. teaches that the magnifying optical part 20 has a free shaped surface optical device 28 (Figure 3).

As to claim 10, Jachimowicz et al. teaches that the magnifying optical part 20 has a free shaped surface prism 24 (Figure 3).

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jachimowicz et al. and Shirakawa as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Song et al. (already of record).

Jachimowicz et al. and Shirakawa teach the claimed mobile terminal, but do not teach that the image display section is detachably supported.

Song et al. teaches a mobile terminal 10 (Figure 1A) having an image display section 12 detachably supported.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Song et al. with that of Jachimowicz et al. and Shirakawa for the convenience of providing a hand-held visual display as taught by Song et al.

Art Unit: 2675

6. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jachimowicz et al. and Shirakawa as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Iwata et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,009,338).

Jachimowicz et al. and Shirakawa teach the claimed mobile terminal, but do not teach an opening going through the second body.

Iwata et al. teaches a mobile terminal 1 (Figures 19-20) having a first body 1 and a second body 7. The first body 1 has an observation window 20 on its front surface. The second body 7 has an opening 65 (column 22, lines 55-60; opening 65 is constructed by cutting-out body 7) through its front surface to its back surface, which opposes the observation window 20, and the observation window 20 faces outside from the opening 65, when the bodies are closed.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Iwata et al. with that of Jachimowicz et al. and Shirakawa to provide the convenience of viewing the user interface while the bodies are closed for durability as taught by Iwata et al.

7. Claims 11, 12, 14-17, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wright et al. (already of record) in view of Shirakawa.

As to claim 11, Wright et al. teaches a mobile terminal apparatus including a first body 10, 12 (Figure 1) and a second body (not labeled) pivotably supported thereon. The mobile terminal has an image display section 16 having an image display device 22 (Figure 5), a magnifying optical part 24 and an observation window 28 on the first body 10, 12. Wright et al. teaches an operation section (Figure 1; ear speaker, not labeled) on the second body (i.e., the operation section operates to process audio signals to produce sound for operating the mobile

apparatus), and a display section 10 on the first body having lower resolution than image display section 16. The observation window 28 (Figure 5) of the image display section 16 is disposed to face outside when the first and second bodies are closed.

Wright et al. does not teach at least one pointing device that faces outside when the second body is in the closed position.

Shirakawa teaches a mobile terminal having first and second bodies povotably supported and including at least one pointing device 13, 23, 26 (Figures 1A and 1B) disposed so as to face toward the outside when the first and second bodies are closed.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Shirakawa with that of Wright et al. to provide the convenience of scrolling information programmed within the Wright et al. mobile terminal while it was closed, as taught by Shirakawa (column 2, line 57 – column 3, line 7).

As to claim 12, Wright et al. teaches that the image display section 16 is built in at least one of the first body 10, 12 and the second body.

As to claim 14, Shirakawa teaches that the pointing device 13 (Figure 1A) is disposed on the back surface of the first body 11.

As to claim 15, Shirakawa teaches that the pointing device (Figure 3A; non-labeled elongated circle corresponding to the pointing device 13 of Figure 1A) is disposed on the back surface of the second body 42 (Figure 3B).

As to claim 16, Shirakawa teaches that the pointing device (Figure 3A) has a main operation member 47 and a subordinate operation member (Figure 3A) on the back surface of the

Art Unit: 2675

second body 42 (Figure 3B), and a connecting member (Figure 3B), which connects the subordinate and main operation members interlocked with each other.

As to claim 17, Shirakawa teaches side surfaces of the first and second bodies, and that the pointing device 23 (Figure 1A) is disposed on the side surface of the first or second body.

As to claim 19, Wright et al. teaches that the magnifying optical part 24 has a free shaped surface optical device 28 (Figure 5).

As to claim 10, Wright et al. teaches that the magnifying optical part 24 has a free shaped surface prism 24 (Figure 5).

8. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wright et al. and Shirakawa as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Song et al.

Wright et al. and Shirakawa teach the claimed mobile terminal, but do not teach that the image display section is detachably supported.

Song et al. teaches a mobile terminal 10 (Figure 1A) having an image display section 12 detachably supported.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Song et al. with that of Wright et al. and Shirakawa for the convenience of providing a hand-held visual display as taught by Song et al.

9. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wright et al. and Shirakawa as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Iwata et al.

Art Unit: 2675

Wright et al. and Shirakawa teach the claimed mobile terminal, but do not teach an opening going through the second body.

Iwata et al. teaches a mobile terminal 1 (Figures 19-20) having a first body 1 and a second body 7. The first body 1 has an observation window 20 on its front surface. The second body 7 has an opening 65 (column 22, lines 55-60 describe opening 65 constructed by cutting-out body 7) through its front surface to its back surface, which opposes the observation window 20, and the observation window 20 faces outside from the opening 65, when the bodies are closed.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Iwata et al. with that of Wright et al. and Shirakawa to provide the convenience of viewing the user interface while the bodies are closed for durability as taught by Iwata et al.

#### Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Richard et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,818,634) teaches a mobile terminal having dual mode optics having a high resolution visual display for high information content (e.g., images/graphics and long/multi-line messages) and a low resolution visual display for simple interaction (e.g., a phone number, date/time stamp, or other short/single-line message). See column 12, lines 49-63.

Art Unit: 2675

Page 9

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron S. Ward whose telephone number is (703) 305-8992. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven J. Saras can be reached on (703) 305-9720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

**ASW** 

Chanhay M CHANH NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER